Case 2:13-cv-02575-NIQA Document 1 Filed 05/10/13 Page 1 of 12

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sheet. (SEE IN	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM	l.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS			
ANDRE SCICERE, JR.		NCO FINANCIA	NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	County of Residence o	f First Listed Defendant		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, Telephone Number and Email	Address) NOTE: IN LANI	ess) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE		
Craig Thor Kimmel, E. Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888			NVOLVED.		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES(I		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P7 Citizen of This State	TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Print of Business In This		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item II	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	2		
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 □ 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Totolgii Country			
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Slander	jury - actice 620 Other Food & Drug actice 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 bility 630 Liquor Laws rsonal 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other 10 Fair Labor Standards 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 740 Railway Labor Act 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus -	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ▼ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
又 1 Original □ 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	Reopened anoth	eferred from a fer district ify) 6 Multidistre Litigation Litigation al statutes unless diversity):	Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practice			L	
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND: Output Demand \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Output Demand \$ Ves □ No					
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation: 5 10 13 SIGNATURE OF AFTORNES OF RECORD					

DATE

Case 2:13-cv-02575-NIQA Document 1 Filed 05/10/13 Page 2 of 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate calendar.	
Address of Plaintiff: 16345 130th Ave., Apt. 3G, Jamaic	a, NY 11434
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Rd., Horsham, PA	A 19044
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
(Use Reverse Side For A	Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	Yes□ No⊠
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ NoŽ
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number:	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	ear previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No⊠
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No⊠
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No⊠
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	ts case filed by the same individual?
4. Is this case a second of successive habeas corpus, social security appear, or pro-security	Yes□ No⊠
CIVIL: (Place 🗸 in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERT	
(Check Appropriate Control of the Craig Thor Kimmel counsel of record do hereby cert	
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 5/10/13	57100
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	r within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	
DATE: 5/10/13	57100
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

Case 2:13-cv-02575-NIQA Document 1 Filed 05/10/13 Page 3 of 12

ANDRE SCICERE, JR.

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

v. NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEM	IS, INC.	: : :	NO.	
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.				
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASI	E MAN	AGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	rought under 28 U.S	S.C. § 2	241 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()				
(c) Arbitration – Cases require	red to be designated	l for arb	itration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	\bigotimes
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. (()	
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special				
management cases.)			on production of the state of t	()
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fa	all into a	ny one of the other tracks.	()
5 10 13 Date	Craig Thor Kimmo Attorney-at-law	<u>el</u>	Plaintiff, Andre Scicere, Jr. Attorney for	
<u>215-540-8888</u> Telephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number	-	kimmel@creditlaw.com E-Mail Address	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 4 ANDRE SCICERE, JR., 5 Plaintiff 6 Case No.: ٧. 7 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR 8 **JURY TRIAL** 9 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 10 11 **COMPLAINT** 12 ANDRE SCICERE, JR. ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, 13 14 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL 15 SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"): 16 17 INTRODUCTION 18 19 Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices 1. 20 Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from 21 engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices, and the Telephone Consumer 22 Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §227 et seq. ("TCPA"). 23 24 25 - 1 -

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendant conducts business and has its principal office in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Jamaica, New York 11434.
- 6. Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a), and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a consumer

debt of another person.

9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant was contacting Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt of another person.
- 11. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt, a Time Warner Cable residential account, arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. Beginning in March 2013, and continuing through April 15, 2013, Defendant continuously and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff on his cellular telephone in its attempts to collect a debt of another person, specifically a person named "David Sit."
- 13. Plaintiff knew it was Defendant calling because he received numerous automated messages, wherein Defendant identified itself as "NCO Financial Systems."
- 14. Also, Plaintiff has spoken with Defendant's collectors and they have identified themselves as calling from "NCO Financial Systems."

- 15. Defendant contacted Plaintiff, on average, one (1) to four (4) times a day, calling him multiple days a week during the relevant period.
- 16. In those instances when the parties spoke, Defendant's collectors claimed they were calling to collect a debt from a third party named "David Sit."
- 17. Plaintiff is not "David Sit" and no one named "David Sit" lives at the number Defendant was calling.
- 18. On numerous occasions, Plaintiff informed Defendant that he is not "David Sit," that no one named "David Sit" lives at the number it was calling, to remove his number from its database, and to stop calling him.
- 19. Defendant's collectors acknowledged Plaintiff's request, claiming that his telephone number would be removed from the database and that calls would stop.
- 20. However, Defendant continued to call in its attempts to collect a debt of a third person.
- 21. Plaintiff has never conferred upon Defendant implied or expressed consent to call his cellular telephone.
- 22. Plaintiff has never given the original creditor implied or expressed consent to call his cellular telephone about this account.
- 23. Defendant's telephone calls were not placed to Plaintiff for "emergency purposes," as specified in 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(1)(A).

- 24. Over the period in question, upon information and belief, Defendant called Plaintiff's cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice.
- 25. When Defendant was told that the debtor could not be found at the number being called, there was no purpose for additional calls to Plaintiff except to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff.
- 26. Defendant failed to update its records and/or failed to investigate the information provided by Plaintiff to avoid further harassment.
 - 27. Defendant continued to call through at least, April 15, 2013.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

COUNT I

- 24. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692b(3).
 - a. Section 1692b(3) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from communicating with any person other than a consumer more than once unless requested to do so by such person or unless the debt collector reasonably believes that the earlier response of such person is erroneous or incomplete and that such person now has correct or complete location information.

b. Here, Defendant violated §1692b(3) of the FDCPA by communicating with Plaintiff more than once about another person's debt, despite having been notified that it was calling the wrong person and that Plaintiff did not want to receive its collection calls.

COUNT II

- 25. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692d and 1692d(5).
 - a. Section 1692d of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in any conduct the natural consequences of which is to harass, oppress or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. Section 1692d(5) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
 - c. Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it called Plaintiff approximately one (1) to four (4) times a day, including weekends, with the intent to annoy, abuse and harass Plaintiff, as Plaintiff had informed Defendant on more than one

occasion it was calling the wrong person.

COUNT III

- 26. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692f.
 - a. Section 1692f of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by failing to update its records to avoid the further harassment of Plaintiff after having been told it was calling the wrong person, as well as failing to remove Plaintiff's number from its call logs, as evidenced by its continued calls to Plaintiff.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

COUNT IV

- 27. Section 227(b)(3)(A) of the TCPA authorizes a private cause of action for a person or entity to bring in an appropriate court of that state "an action based on a violation of this subsection or the regulations prescribed under this subsection to enjoin such violation."
- 28. Section 227(b)(3)(B), of the Act authorizes a private cause of action for a person or entity to bring in an appropriate court of that state "an action to

recover for actual monetary loss from such a violation, or to receive \$500 in damages for each such violation, whichever is greater."

- 29. Despite the fact that Plaintiff never consented to Defendant making calls to her cellular phone, Defendant repeatedly placed non-emergency calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone without Plaintiff's consent.
- 30. The Act also authorizes the Court, in its discretion, to award up to three (3) times the actual damages sustained for violations.
- 31. Here, Defendant repeatedly and regularly placed non-emergency, automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, using a pre-recorded or artificial voice.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on his cellular telephone dozens of times.
- 33. Defendant did not have Plaintiff's express consent prior to contacting his cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system or pre-recorded or artificial voice.
- 34. Defendant's conduct violated §227(b)(1)(A)(iii) of the TCPA by making any call using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial prerecorded voice to a telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, ANDRE SCICERE, JR., respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

Case 2:13-cv-02575-NIQA Document 1 Filed 05/10/13 Page 12 of 12

1	a.	All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §
2		1692k(a)(1);
3	1-	
4	b.	Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA
5		pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
6	c.	All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and
7		other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C.
8		\$16031 ₇ (a)(3);
9		§1693k(a)(3);
10	d.	Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each violation of the TCPA,
11		pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(c)(5)(B); and
12	e.	Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.
13		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
	DIFACET	
15	PLEASE 1.	AKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, ANDRE SCICERE, JR., demands a
16	jury trial in this ca	ise.
17		RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
18	DATED: 5 10	KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.
19		1
20		By:CRAIG THOR KIMMEL
21		PA Attorney Id. No. 57100
22		30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002
23		Phone: (215) 540-8888 ext. 116
24		Fax: (877) 788-2864 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com
25		